

## **WATERFORD CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES** **Thursday, June 1, 2006**

A regular meeting of the Waterford City Council was called to order by Mayor Turner at 6:45 PM at the Waterford Community Center, 540 "C" Street, Waterford, CA 95386.

### **ROLL CALL PRESENT**

Charles Turner, Mayor  
William Broderick, Vice Mayor  
Zena Gilbert, Council Member  
Ken Krause, Council Member  
Greg Dugovic, Council Member

### **EXCUSED:**

### **ABSENT:**

### **CITY STAFF & CONTRACT EMPLOYEES PRESENT**

Corbett Browning, City Attorney  
Charles E. Deschenes, City Administrator  
Lori Martin, City Clerk  
Keith Rakoncza, Police Sgt.  
Robert Borchard, City Planner

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** – Mayor Turner

**INVOCATION:** Pastor Pete Mar

**ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA:** Mayor Turner requested item 6g be pulled and removed from the agenda as request was withdrawn. A motion was made by CM/Dugovic and duly seconded by CM/Krause to adopt the agenda with that change. Vote: 5-0.

**ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT AGENDA:** A motion was made by CM/Krause and seconded by CM/Dugovic to adopt the consent calendar. Vote: 5-0

### **1. CONSENT AGENDA:**

- 1a: RESOLUTION 2006-50: Warrant Register
- 1b: RESOLUTION 2006-54: Warrant Register
- 1c: Minutes of Regular Meeting held May 4, 2006
- 1d: Building Report – April 2006
- 1e: Business License Report – April 2006
- 1f: Waste Water Daily Flow Analysis Report – April 2006
- 1g: Waterford Police Services – Monthly Report for May 2006

### **2. PRESENTATIONS:**

- 2a: Waterford Library to provide information relating to the Summer Reading Program: Cindy Scott from the Waterford Library reminded everyone of the summer reading program which began today and runs through August 15<sup>th</sup> and is designed to encourage kids to read throughout the summer and to make reading fun. Ms. Scott encouraged parents to bring their children to enjoy this fun activity. Ms. Scott stated that in addition to the summer reading they include a magic show, puppet show and for the teens there is a creative journaling workshop.
- 2b: RESOLUTION 2006-55: Oakdale Cowboy Museum Request to Designate July 22, 2006 as the "National Day of the American Cowboy": Mayor Turner read aloud the Resolution and presented local

cattle rancher, Mr. John Roen with the Resolution. Mr. Roen thanked the City Council for making this designation. Kristy from the Oakdale Cowboy Museum presented the city with a poster to display to the public proclaiming July 22<sup>nd</sup> as the National Day of the American Cowboy.

**A motion was made to adopt Resolution 2006-55 by: CM/Gilbert**

**Seconded by: CM/Krause**

**Absent: 0**

**Abstain: 0**

**Vote: 5-0**

**3. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE AUDIENCE:**

None.

**4. PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS:**

None.

**5. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:**

5a: City Planner, Robert Borchard to Present the Updated Vision 2025 Draft General Plan dated April 2006: Mr. Borchard gave a brief update to highlight the revisions made since the last draft. Mr. Borchard stated that the traffic and noise elements have been added and stated there were a few surprises as well as some anticipated items in regards to the traffic patterns and specifically with the traffic going across the canal. Mr. Borchard stated that the need for a new connector was identified. Mr. Borchard stated that he will be holding some public workshops to gather information from the public on their recommendations to the plan and upon completion of the public workshops the public input along with the draft general plan will go to the Planning Commission for their input and recommendations to City Council. Mr. Borchard stated that this will also require an EIR of which is almost done. Mr. Borchard stated that after this weekend it will go into the editing process and he plans to present it to the Planning Commission mid month or so. After a brief q/a period with Council the following audience members addressed the Council:

Mr. Gus Oberthier, 11605 Yosemite Blvd: questioned the validity of the statistics used in the studies, in particular the traffic pattern study. Mr. Oberthier stated that he can not find anything that explains how they came up with the numbers that they did. Mr. Oberthier also questioned the validity of the noise study stating that it shows only one motorcycle traveling on Hwy 132 which he feels is erroneous. Mr. Oberthier stated that he feels there was no effort made to divert traffic North and South.

Mayor Turner explained that this is a draft document open for review and comments. Mayor Turner explained further that the traffic and noise studies are prepared by a traffic engineer and recommended that Mr. Oberthier set up an appointment with one of the traffic engineer's at StanCOG and they would be more than happy to explain the process that is used to develop the numbers in these studies.

Mr. Oberthier addressed Council to direct a question at the City Attorney, stating that this question is in relation to what he feels is a Brown Act violation in relation to section 54952 by the Planning Commission for holding meetings outside the public forum by attending a meeting in Monterey as well as a tour to another city by some Planning Commission members to look at neo-traditional style concepts of community planning.

City Attorney, Browning stated that legislative bodies are prohibited from holding meetings that are not noticed properly; however there are express exceptions under the government code cited by Mr. Oberthier (section 54952) including things like attending meetings of other bodies, attending other ceremonial social events, and the most applicable in this case is attending conferences, seminars or similar types of events where there is an informational gathering type of activity. Mr. Browning stated that subsection C2 specifically accepts these types of things from being violations of the Brown Act. Mr. Browning stated further that these types of activities do need to be held in public places or places that are accessible to the public. Mr. Browning also stated that no decisions can be made in this type of situation, and can only be for purposes of information gathering.

Mayor Turner also commented that this is a typical type of situation and that it is fairly common with other Boards and Commission's in other cities throughout the County and State.

Mr. Chris Esther, 613 Curran Dr. and Chairman of the Waterford Planning Commission addressed the Council stating that the meeting in Monterey that Mr. Oberthier is referring to was a Planning Commission workshop held by the League of California Cities in which two of our Commissioner's attended. The second meeting Mr. Oberthier is referring to is a field trip that was attended by Planning Commissioner's along with Mr. Borchard and Council Member Dugovic to gain a better understanding and gather facts on the neo-traditional style of community development. Mr. Esther stated that this was only a fact finding mission and no decisions were discussed or made during this field trip.

Mrs. Barbara Little, 13118 Rivercrest Dr. and new member of the Waterford School Board, addressed the Council stating that as a school board member she has not seen the draft general plan and inquired as to how much time between the draft and the final document they might have to work together with the city and to provide their input.

Mayor Turner commented that he was surprised that the school board members were not aware that the city is currently updating the general plan, as this information has been provided to the School Superintendent for input. Mayor Turner stated that after the EIR is completed there is a 45 day public comment period for the EIR and in addition the City Planner will be conducting public workshops which will be publicly noticed and all members of the community are invited to attend these workshops and provide input. This input will be presented to the Planning Commission and the Planning Commission will make their final recommendations to Council.

Mr. Borchard commented that this will be a draft well into September 2006.

VM/Broderick asked Mr. Borchard if he could help him to better understand how the engineer's made the determination that "F" St. (Oakdale/Waterford Highway) has more traffic traveling on it than Highway 132. V/M Broderick pointed out that in his experience living in Waterford he has seen many traffic jams on Hwy 132, but can not remember a time that he has seen any traffic jams on "F" Street. In addition, the only traffic signal in Waterford is at the intersection of Hwy 132/Reinway with another traffic signal scheduled to be installed at Hwy/132 & "F" Street.

CM/Krause suggested having the engineer that prepared these studies come to one of our meetings and explain the process. City Planner Borchard stated that he would get in touch with the engineer and schedule him to come to one of the City Council meetings. Mayor Turner suggested that anyone that is interested in knowing how this process works to get in touch with StanCOG and set up an appointment to learn how the modeling works.

Mr. Oberthier stated that he is opposed to the traffic being routed around town and back onto Hwy 132 due to it dropping the traffic right before his residence.

5b: DISCUSSION: Relating to the Waterford Government Center Project.

City Administrator Deschenes stated that the major goal right now would be to form a committee now that we have a consultant on board to facilitate things and move this process along. Deschenes mentioned that CM/Krause along with staff will try to start the ball rolling by identifying some meeting dates. Deschenes stated that he put together a really rough draft of an RFP for architectural services and forwarded to our consultant as well as some county officials and he has started to receive comments back which he will incorporate into the draft. Deschenes stated that he will most likely have our consultant pick up this process after incorporating some of the comments and suggestions from others.

5c: DISCUSSION: Relating to the Removal of Hazardous Materials on the Waterford Government Center Parcel including the Property known as the "Caro" property: City Administrator Deschenes stated that he did receive an email from PG&E yesterday informing us that all gas connections have either been physically removed or cut off at the street, therefore it is safe to move forward now. Deschenes stated that he has passed on this information to the contractor and has asked him for his schedule for getting the parcels cleared. Deschenes hopes to hear something from the contractor by Monday.

VM/Broderick inquired as to if we have discussed the possibility of keeping the oak tree on the old car lot parcel. Deschenes stated that he would like to have the government center committee consider this. Deschenes stated that there is a cost factor involved in keeping the tree and he is trying to quantify the cost. Deschenes stated that our consultant's expertise will be helpful in determining how the tree would work as far as construction and design go. Deschenes also stated that he may need to employ an arborist due to the demolition process may disturb/damage the root system of the tree.

5d: DISCUSSION: Relating to City Organization:

City Administrator Deschenes stated that he budgeted software improvements in this year's budget. Staff has been looking at various software packages and has explored contracts with several firms.

Deschenes stated that this is still at the staff level and he is not asking council for any decisions today. Deschenes stated that we are looking at one company in particular and have been working out details in the contract language. Deschenes expects to have a revised contract back from this company in the next day or so that addresses and revises the concerns that staff had in the contract language. Deschenes stated that as soon as we receive the revised contract he will send it off to the City Attorney for his review and may have it on the agenda for action at the next meeting.

- 5e: DISCUSSION: Relating to Assembly Bill 2987 "Statewide Franchising Bill": City Administrator Deschenes stated that he feels the larger municipalities are doing enough in this area and has seen some correspondence from the League of California Cities on this topic. Deschenes stated that his recommendation would be to follow the League's lead on this. If the League asks the cities to prepare opposition letter then we will, but does not feel a letter from Waterford is needed at this point in time. Deschenes stated that we will take this item off the agenda for now unless Council has any objection. No objection from council was noted.

## 6. NEW BUSINESS

- 6a: RESOLUTION 2006-51: A Resolution Calling and Giving Notice of the Holding of a General Municipal Election to be Held on Tuesday, November 7, 2006 for the Election of Certain Officers as Required by the Provisions of the Laws of the State of California Relating to General Law Cities: City Attorney Browning stated that he has reviewed the Resolution and finds it acceptable and recommends approval by the Council.

**A motion was made to adopt Resolution 2006-51 by: CM/Dugovic**

**Seconded by: VM/Broderick**

**Absent: 0**

**Abstain: 0**

**Vote: 5-0**

- 6b: RESOLUTION 2006-52: A Resolution Requesting the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus to Render Election Services to the City Relating to the Conduct of a General Municipal Election to be held on November 7, 2006: City Attorney Browning stated that this is to notify the Board of Supervisors that we would like to consolidate our election with the County and asks them to render election services and states that the City will reimburse Waterford's portion of the cost.

**A motion was made to adopt Resolution 2006-52 by: VM/Broderick**

**Seconded by: CM/Dugovic**

**Absent: 0**

**Abstain: 0**

**Vote: 5-0**

- 6c: DISCUSSION: Relating to the Fiscal Year 2006-07 Budget: City Administrator Deschenes stated that he will be working on the 06/07 budget next week. Deschenes stated that he will most likely have a status update for Council at the next meeting, however the budget will be far from being final, therefore will be recommending at the next council meeting that Council adopt the 05/06 budget as the preliminary budget until the 06/07 budget is finalized sometime in late July or early August.

- 6d: DISCUSSION: Relating to Heritage Day Insurance: City Administrator Deschenes stated that the committee was able to obtain less expensive insurance that provided adequate coverage and due to the short time frames the city made arrangements to cover this and get the insurance in place for the event. Deschenes stated that this is consistent to what was done last year. The Heritage Day Committee has worked hard to get this event going again. The committee is currently tabulating their revenues and expenditures and it looks as though they will do okay. Deschenes stated that he anticipates that the committee will be making a request that the city waives the cost of the insurance and if they do he will bring this request back to Council. Deschenes stated that the original quote on the insurance was approximately \$1300 and they were able to obtain the same coverage for approximately \$800. Deschenes stated that this was a good positive family event and the committee

will evaluate all comments good and bad and continue to improve the next year. Deschenes stated that Dan Padrnos is to be commended for organizing this event and getting it going again.

- 6e: DISCUSSION: Relating to Housing and Community Development Cost Recovery: City Administrator Deschenes gave a brief history update on the HOME and CDBG programs that were started in the mid 90's. Deschenes explained that these programs were administered by administrative sub-contractors from a state approved list. These contractors do a bulk of the administration of the program and they are compensated on a percentage of the grant and generally when the grant is done, the contractor gets paid. Once the contractor is paid there is still ongoing administrative work to be done on these loans and especially so with all of the recent refinancing loans and real estate sales. Once the contractor is paid there is no incentive for them to do the ongoing administrative functions needed. Deschenes stated that this was a major factor in the city deciding to join the County Consortium. Deschenes explained that the city has performed a great deal of administrative work not only at the start of the loans but on an ongoing basis as well that we have not been compensated for. Deschenes explained that the repayment of the loans due to the refinancing opportunities and low income loans generated revenue. Deschenes stated that this revenue is an opportunity for the city to recover some of the past administrative costs it has incurred. The city has an option to either have a re-use plan to re-use the funds or return the funds to the State. Deschenes stated that the city did return funds to the State; however federal statues allow us to withhold 10% of the program income which is a pretty convoluted definition to offset the administrative expenses. However, the administrative expenses have to be documented and since staff since the mid 90's never anticipated being able to recover this cost it was not documented, therefore we have to go back through our records and document the activity during this time. The 10% of recaptured funds amounted to approximately \$111,000 which we will not be able to justify that amount of expense, however we should be able to justify a good portion of that which will be retained by the city and the remaining of what we can not justify will have to be returned to the State. Deschenes stated that this is in a brief summary of the situation. Deschenes stated that he certainly prefers being part of the County Consortium with the direct grant coming from CDBG with the County administering it. Deschenes feels the County will do a much better job administering our program than our administrative sub-contractor has done. Deschenes stated that he has provided a detailed memo in regards to this situation in the agenda packets.

Mr. Mike Boettger , Vice President of the PAM Companies addressed the Council stating that his company was the last recipient of the last HOME grant that the City of Waterford received. Mr. Boettger stated that his company received 3.5 million from the HOME program to renovate Waterford Gardens. Mr. Boettger stated that since this time Mr. Deschenes has been persistent and working very hard to get this issue resolved and thanked Deschenes for his diligence in working hard to resolve this issue with the State. Mr. Boettger stated that he met with Chuck a few weeks ago and they were able to have a conversation with State officials with the HOME program and the section Chief has given us permission to set up this project and get everything ready to go as far as the loan documents and so forth, however the State will not fund the loan until the issue that Mr. Deschenes just explained is resolved. Mr. Boettger stated that they have made some headway with the State and again thanked Deschenes for all he has done so far. After numerous phone calls with the State and various other consultants it looks as though we are getting to the bottom of it. Mr. Boettger stated that his only concern right now is we are in prime construction time right now and we have a July 31<sup>st</sup> deadline to get funding for the project and would hate to loose a whole year due to not being able to draw funds.

Deschenes stated that we have submitted data to the State identifying approximately \$33,000 in administrative costs over the last 3 fiscal years and when he met with Mr. Boettger and our administrative consultant they were able to get in contact with the Head of the HOME program and asked him to review the documents that were submitted and to let us know if we were on the right track as far as providing the documentation required to retain the administrative expenses incurred. Deschenes explained that until we hear back from the State we do not want to spend more time trying to identify more expenses until we know for sure this is something the State is going to accept. Deschenes stated that the worse case scenario is that we will have to return the \$111,000. Deschenes explained that the preliminary indications that we have received from the State was that they felt our methodology looked good, however they were going to take a closer look at it and consult with some of their experts before making a final determination. Deschenes stated that he will respond to HCD's email, but essentially we have to convince the state that what we have presented is consistent with federal regulations and good accounting.

Deschenes explained that the PAM Companies project is a rehabilitation project on the Waterford Garden Apts., which provides low & moderate housing in Waterford for the last twenty years and this project if funded will allow the low & moderate designation to be renewed for the next 55 years. If this

project does not happen this complex could be removed from the City's stock of affordable housing. Deschenes stated that this project is a benefit to the city. Deschenes stated that it is unfortunate that the PAM Companies is being held hostage to this situation. Deschenes stated that we are doing our best to go back in time and document expenses that were incurred and we are working our way through it.

Mr. Boettger thanked Deschenes once again for all his efforts in getting this resolved.

- 6f: DISCUSSION: Relating to Establishing a Committee to Meet Jointly with Waterford School Board and Superintendent to Address Items of Mutual Concern: City Administrator Deschenes explained that this is a revitalization of a committee that was formed in the past. Due to changes in the school administration and board members there is an interest in revitalizing this committee. CM/Gilbert volunteered to be a member of this committee and the Mayor stated that he has talked with Planning Chair Chris Esther who is interested in being a member of this committee. Mayor Turner appointed CM/Gilbert and PC Chair Chris Esther as the committee members to meet with the appointed school board committee members. This committee is designed for the school board and the city to work together to accomplish mutual goals.
- 6g: DISCUSSION: Relating to a Request Made by the Waterford High School Boosters Club for Use of Property for Non-Profit Firework Sales: Item pulled from agenda by Mayor Turner before adoption of the agenda.
- 6h: DISCUSSION: Relating to Welch Street Trench Patch: City Administrator Deschenes updated Council on the Welch Street project. Teichert is the general contractor for this project. Deschenes explained that we notified the City of Modesto about this project about two years ago and they informed us that Modesto would need to upgrade the water line first. This situation evolved to the point to where we informed them we would be overlaying the street and asked for a trench patch that would withstand an overlay. City of Modesto upgraded the water line and did trench patch, however Teichert does not feel the trench patch that was put into place is adequate to overlay. The City of Modesto's response was that they didn't realize it was going to be an overlay and thought it was going to be a reconstruction of the street. Deschenes explained that we are trying to work out this situation. Deschenes stated that he has received a quote from a patching company for a trench patch that would be adequate enough to overlay and feels the cost was too high. Deschenes stated that he has also asked Teichert for a quote to do the trench patch. Deschenes stated that he has also asked the City Engineer to inquire with their experts in paving to obtain costs to do additional patching along with a fabric over it which would stabilize it and be considerably less expensive. Deschenes stated that in the meantime he will continue to negotiate with City of Modesto on cost and coverage. However, Deschenes stated that he does not want to hold up this project because there is a need to get it done and to get it done before the wet weather comes around again. Deschenes stated that he does not have a construction start date from Teichert due to the wet spring; however we are pushing to get it scheduled as soon as possible.
- Deschenes reminded Council as a side note that the next project after this is the Western Street project, which is a reconstruction and not an overlay. Deschenes stated that he has notified the City of Modesto about this project. Deschenes stated that he will continue to keep in touch with Modesto on this because Modesto recently went through a change in their bidding process where all of the Water Department projects that were done in house have to go through a more formal bidding process and compared to an in-house bid submittal. This has slowed down their process for getting jobs done.

**(Discussion relating to item 6g – Item 6g was pulled from agenda at beginning of meeting before adoption)**

Mayor Turner announced that he just received information that there is an audience member that would like to address Item 6g which was pulled from the agenda at the beginning of the meeting. Mayor Turner explained that since this item was pulled from the agenda the Council can not act on this item, however would listen to the public comment.

Mr. Randy Bonner with the Waterford High School Boosters Club stated that they have requested to use the Grange Hall property for their fireworks booth. Mr. Bonner stated that he felt the section in the front of the building between the flag pole and the sign which he measured at approximately 25' x 25' would be sufficient enough space to have a firework booth. Mr. Bonner stated that he also felt there was enough parking area on the Bentley side and the Highway side and did not feel there would be any parking issues. The firework company does provide insurance.

Mayor Turner stated that he had discussions with Kim Rose of the Waterford High School Boosters Club in regards to the city's concerns of safety at this intersection and that the city has denied several other requests for the use of this property in the past and therefore the city would have to deny the request for the use of the Waterford Museum property. Mayor Turner stated that the city offered the Booster Club an alternative location to use for their firework booth. Mr. Bonner stated that he felt the alternative location was a worse location in regards to safety. Mr. Bonner asked why this item was removed from the agenda. Mayor Turner explained that he had talked with Kim Rose she said the Booster Club was going back to their original site at Hwy 132 & Reinway.

## 7. COMMENTS:

7a: Law Enforcement Update. Sgt. Rakoncza stated that he is very happy that Chief Jackson was chosen for the job because he is doing an excellent job. Chief Jackson has a lot of vision and direction for the department to go in a positive way. Sgt. Rakoncza stated that some of the new ideas and projects they are working on is developing a bicycle patrol unit which would consist of a couple deputies patrolling the downtown area on bicycles during problem times. They are creating a special task force with the correction department where several of the patrol division officers will come out working in conjunction with our officers to do parole sweeps monthly. Sgt. Rakoncza stated that these are real positive pro-active projects and he is excited about it.

7b: City Attorney Update: City Attorney Browning gave a brief update on some of the seminar topics he attended at a recent League of California Cities Attorney Conference. Mr. Browning stated this was a very informative conference and he obtained good information on how to abate drug houses and other code enforcement issues, land use law. Mr. Browning stated that when the issue came up in regards to not having a quorum for the last meeting, when the City Clerk called to discuss how to properly notice, Mr. Browning stated that since this was an item covered at the conference he had the information right at his fingertips. Mr. Browning stated that the League conferences are really well done and very informative and thanked the Council for allowing him to attend. Mr. Browning requested a closed session for item 9a, Pending Litigation under GC 54956.9.

7c: City Administrator Update: City Administrator Deschenes explained in more detail the reasoning behind the denial of the request for use of the Museum property. Deschenes re-emphasized the safety issues and mentioned the various clubs that have made requests to use the property all of which were denied. Deschenes stated that he has received information in relation to the clicket grant and reminded everyone to buckle up. Deschenes mentioned concerns for weed problems which have become fire hazards in the downtown area. Deschenes explained that as a general rule these concerns are passed onto the Fire Department as they are able to post notice on the property and if they do not get cooperation from the property owner the Fire Dept. can abate the problem and bill the property owner on their tax bill. Deschenes stated that he needs to contact the Fire Dept because he does not see that happening yet. Deschenes stated that the city does have ordinances that were recently put into place that will allow the city to do the same thing, so if the Fire Dept will not be able to do this then the city will most likely have to take the initiative to get it done. Deschenes stated that he will email the Fire Chief tomorrow. Deschenes recently learned that Fire Commissioner White resigned and will try and get some additional information on this. Deschenes stated that the recent visit from the auditor's went very well and the audit is well along even though the fiscal year has not ended yet, and also mentioned that it is a pleasure working with this firm. Deschenes stated that he will prepare a job description for the Community Development position that we have discussed at previous meetings. This position will cover the areas of RDA, low income housing portion of the RDA, landscape & lighting issues, the HOME and CDGB program, provide assistance to the Planning Dept, and pursuing of grants.

7d: City Council Update.

VM/Broderick: stated that he will be leaving for South America on the 14<sup>th</sup> and will not be attending the next City Council meeting. VM/Broderick commented on the denial of the use of property for the firework booth stating that he is on the Education Foundation Committee and they were turned down in the past, however he thought with the intersection improvements that were done at that intersection and thought that since some of the intersection problems were corrected that we might consider their request. VM/Broderick thanked CM/Gilbert and Chris Esther for volunteering for the Council/School Board committee.

CM/Gilbert: No comments.

CM/Dugovic: No comments.

CM/Krause: Thanked the office staff for creating a new city website and getting it up and running.

Mayor Turner: echoed CM/Krause's comments on the website.

8. ANNOUNCEMENTS:

ADJOURNMENT/RECESS: Recessed at 8:38 PM. After a short recess will reconvene to Closed Session. Will reconvene to City Council meeting directly after closed session to adjourn.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Waterford City Council at a regular meeting held on June 1, 2006, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

ATTEST:

---

Lori Martin, City Clerk

---

Charles Turner, Mayor

CLOSED SESSION:

9A. "CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL, PENDING LITIGATION"  
(PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.9)

City of Waterford v. Stine, et al., Stanislaus County Superior Court Case No. 377276. Direction provided to City Attorney.

9B. "CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL, ANTICIPATED LITIGATION" (PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.9)

None.

9C. "CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR"  
(PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE 54956.8)

None.

9D. "CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR" (PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE 54957.6)

None.

9E. "PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION" (PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54957)

None.

9F. "PUBLIC EMPLOYEE DISCIPLINE/DISSMISSAL/RELEASE"  
(PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54957)

None.

9G. "PUBLIC EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENT" (PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54957)

None.

9H. "CHARGE OR COMPLAINT INVOLVING INFORMATION PROTECTED BY STATE LAW."  
(Penal Code Section 832.7)

None.