

# WATERFORD CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

## Thursday, February 15, 2007

A regular meeting of the Waterford City Council was called to order by Mayor Broderick-Villa at 6:30 PM at the Waterford Community Center, 540 "C" Street, Waterford, CA 95386.

**CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:** [6:31:15 PM](#) Mayor Broderick-Villa

**INVOCATION:** Deacon Tom Broderick, Waterford Ministerial Association

**ROLL CALL PRESENT:** [6:33:10 PM](#)  
**Present:** Mayor Broderick-Villa  
Vice-Mayor Krause  
Council Member Goeken  
Council Member Weaver  
Council Member Aldaco

**Absent:** None

**Also Present:** Robert Borchard, City Planner  
Corbett J. Browning, City Attorney  
Charles E. Deschenes, City Administrator  
Rob Jackson, Police Chief  
Lori Martin, City Clerk

Krause moved, seconded by Aldaco, to adopt the agenda.

[6:33:23 PM](#)  
**AYES:** Broderick-Villa, Goeken, Krause, Weaver and Aldaco  
**NAYES:** None  
**ABSENT:** None

Motion passed by majority.

### 1. CONSENT CALENDAR:

- 1a: RESOLUTION 2007-16: Warrant Register
- 1b: Minutes of the Regular Meeting held on February 1, 2007
- 1c: Building Report – January 2007
- 1d: Business License Report – January 2007
- 1e: Wastewater Daily Flow Summary – Dec 2006 & WWTP Summary 2006
- 1f: Wastewater Daily Flow Summary – January 2007
- 1g: Parks & Recreation Meeting Minutes of December 12, 2006
- 1h: Stanislaus County Board/Mayor Committee Meeting Summary held on January 22, 2007

[6:33:32 PM](#) Aldaco moved, seconded by Goeken, to adopt the Consent Calendar.

[6:33:57 PM](#)  
**AYES:** Broderick-Villa, Goeken, Krause, Weaver and Aldaco  
**NAYES:** None  
**ABSENT:** None

Motion passed by majority.

**2. PRESENTATIONS:**

None.

**3. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE AUDIENCE:**

[6:34:05 PM](#) Reanna Kirkwood, 433 Church St., addressed Council with an idea to do a fundraiser for breast cancer research. Ms. Kirkwood stated that her Grandmother recently passed after an 8 year battle with breast cancer. Council Member Goeken suggested that she get in contact with the Heritage Days Committee and to consider doing a booth at the next Heritage Days.

**4. PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS:**

4a: RESOLUTION 2007-13: A Resolution Adopting the Waterford General Plan Housing Element: City Planner, Bob Borchard explained that although the Council adopted the General Plan which included the Housing Element in December 2006 that it was felt by staff that given the unique nature of the Housing Element in state planning law, that a separate hearing should be held on the final adoption of this document before formal transmittal to the State for certification. This is to assure that City officials, and the public at large, clearly understand the implications of this document. Borchard explained that the Housing Element was updated to include the requested changes by the State HCD in a correspondence dated January 14, 2005. Borchard explained that this is a "stand-alone document because of the State mandated review time frames that differ from the normal review requirements of the General Plan. Borchard explained that after HCD reviews the City's Housing Element that most likely HCD will ask that the city adopt a separate ordinance that provides for inclusionary requirements for affordable housing. Borchard stated that he provided Council with a handout of Patterson's inclusionary ordinance so that Council can see what it entails. Borchard explained that the City's position on low-moderate housing has been that it is something that the city feels it has more than an abundance of and stated that the city has set objectives according to State law to follow that policy. Borchard stated that once we receive this request from HCD it will force the Council to make a decision on whether they wish to participate in HCD's programs in respect to grant funding or whether Council will want to participate in an inclusionary program which is essentially an income transfer program which adds costs to regular market rate units and takes those costs and transfers them to buy down the costs for low market rate units. Borchard explained that this Housing Element update is for the time period of 2001-2008, therefore it will start over next year. Borchard explained further that most likely we will not have a certified Housing Element by HCD by 2008, however explained further that we are making giant strides in that direction by adopting this document and forwarding it to HCD and getting a response from HCD requesting that we adopt the inclusionary ordinance. Borchard further discussed details of the facts and tables found in the Housing Element. Borchard stated that since we have some new council members that have not participated in the past objective he wanted to make sure that he is going down the right path and following this objective or whether or not the Council wishes to reevaluate the objective with respect to meeting goals that include an inclusionary ordinance. Borchard stated that the Resolution that he has provided essentially adopts this Housing Element and allows for submittal to HCD. A short question and answer period continued between Council, the City Planner and the City Administrator.

[6:38:24 PM](#) Krause moved, seconded by Weaver, to adopt Resolution 2007-13, as recommended.

[6:58:30 PM](#) Mayor Broderick-Villa opened and closed the public hearing with no comments offered from the public.

[6:58:44 PM](#)

**AYES:** Broderick-Villa, Goeken, Krause, Weaver and Aldaco  
**NAYES:** None  
**ABSENT:** None

Motion passed by majority.

- 4b: ORDINANCE 2007-01: INTRODUCTION & FIRST READING: An Ordinance Amending WMC Chapter 2.24 and Chapter 2.28: [6:58:57 PM](#) City Attorney Browning stated that he prepared ordinance amendments on what he believed to be his direction from Council in regards to amending the way a chairperson is selected amongst the Planning Commission and Parks & Recreation Commission. City Attorney Browning explained the details of the amendment.

Krause moved, seconded by Aldaco to approve the Introduction and First Reading of Ordinance 2007-01.

[7:02:20 PM](#) Mayor Broderick-Villa opened the public hearing.

Gus Oberthier, 11605 Yosemite Blvd. addressed the council asking for clarification. Mayor Broderick-Villa explained that this is an ordinance amendment to change the way the chairperson is selected on both the Planning & Parks & Recreation Commissions and also to amend the language making the rules of the Commissions to be the same. Mayor Broderick-Villa Closed the public hearing with no additional comments offered from the public.

Council Member Goeken pointed out that there is still a difference in the language between the Planning Commission and Parks & Recreation and requested that the City Attorney amend the codes to read the same. It was the consensus of Council to amend the newly proposed ordinance amendment for the Planning Commission to reflect the same language that is written in the Parks & Recreation Commission.

[7:10:39 PM](#) Goeken moved, seconded by Krause to rescind first motion approving the Introduction and First Reading of Ordinance 2007-01 and gave direction to the City Attorney to prepare a new ordinance amendment which amends the language contained in the Planning Commission to be the same language which is contained in the Parks & Recreation Commission. Council gave further direction to the City Attorney to amend the language pertaining to the requirement to hold a meeting once per month to allow for a meeting cancellation if there is no business to discuss.

[7:11:33 PM](#)

**AYES:** Broderick-Villa, Goeken, Krause, Weaver and Aldaco  
**NAYES:** None  
**ABSENT:** None

Motion passed by majority.

## 5. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

- 5a: DISCUSSION: Relating to Western Ave. Street Improvement Project: [7:12:47 PM](#) Mayor Broderick-Villa reported on a recent meeting that was held last week to address concerns from any of the homeowners of Western and mentioned that it was well attended. Mayor Broderick-Villa mentioned that a resident on Western by the name of Annie McKee fell and was badly hurt while walking on the sidewalk which was cracked and there were huge puddles in the street and stated that she was rushed to the hospital and had to get 6 stitches in her right arm and broke some bones and stated that Ms. McKee did not have the energy to come to Council tonight, however she asked the Mayor to continue to work on getting the improvements done to Western Ave. so that nobody else is at risk of getting hurt. City Administrator Deschenes asked that the Mayor give him the exact location so that public works could put cones out and/or secure the location immediately now that the Mayor has put us on notice of the accident. Deschenes stated that the Western Ave. Street Improvement project is out to bid with a bid due date of March 1<sup>st</sup>. Deschenes stated that hopefully the bid award will be on the March 1<sup>st</sup> agenda. Deschenes stated that he will be sending out correspondence to the residents on Western to determine how many residents are in favor of sidewalks. Deschenes stated

that he will send a commitment letter back to the residents so that there are no misunderstandings of whether or not they would like sidewalks. Deschenes stated that if there is enough interest in having sidewalks that there is some CDBG funds available that we could utilize for the sidewalks. Deschenes stated that there are some areas where we do not have the right of way dedicated to put in sidewalks. Deschenes discussed and addressed some of the additional requests for improvements made by the residents of Western Ave. at last weeks meeting.

[7:22:45 PM](#) Gus Oberthier, 11605 Yosemite Blvd. made a comment in opposition of closing off Western Ave at F Street. Mr. Oberthier stated that he has done a preliminary study of the traffic study that was done for the General Plan update and does not see much difference in the numbers between the traffic on N. Western vs. S. Western.

[7:24:12 PM](#) Reanna Kirkwood, 433 Church St. spoke in favor of the Western Ave. street improvement project stating that she walks down Western Ave. to get to the store and it is very difficult to walk on.

- 5b: RESOLUTION 2007-14: A Resolution Approving a Professional Services Agreement with L.B. Hayhurst Associates, Inc. to Prepare a Pay and Classification Study for the City of Waterford: [7:24:52 PM](#) City Attorney Browning explained that the Resolution has been prepared, however realizes that he just distributed the agreement to Council tonight and suggested that we carry this item over to the next meeting to allow Council time to review the two proposals. City Attorney Browning discussed some of the details of each proposal. Browning explained that after Council has had time to review the proposals and if they want to move forward with one that L.B. Hayhurst would start on this project as soon as we approve it. Browning stated that with the first proposal, L.B. Hayhurst could have a draft in approximately 7 weeks, with the second proposal; L.B. Hayhurst could have a draft in approximately 17 weeks. It was the consensus of council to carry this item to the next meeting.

**Carry Item to next meeting.**

- 5c: DISCUSSION: Relating to 2007 City Goals and Objectives: [7:28:56 PM](#) Mayor Broderick inquired as to when council could get a list comprised of all of the goals submitted at the last meeting with a cost outline of each goal. Deschenes suggested that once a list is comprised that they take maybe the top 20 or so goals and tie a cost to each of them. Deschenes stated that since the City Planner is here, he would like to go over some of the planning goals with Council. City Planner Borchard stated that he has given Council a list of the planning priorities through the budget year of 2007. Borchard stated that they are not in any particular order, however include; updating the city zoning codes to match what is in the general plan update, update the subdivision ordinance code, update the city's impact fees, complete the HCD Housing Element Certification process, update the city's CEQA policies and procedures, prepare a municipal service review to update the sphere of influence. Borchard explained that the impact fee study is coming to a close and hopes to come before council within 30 days with this study. In addition, Borchard stated that he plans to a Saturday workshop with the property owners that are in our expansion area so that they have a good idea of what this will translate into. Borchard explained that since we do have some new council members he wanted to give them the opportunity to let him know if he is heading in the wrong direction, and to let him know if he needs to focus his attention in another direction.

[7:41:09 PM](#) Gus Oberthier, 11605 Yosemite Blvd. made a comment of frustration in regards to the boundaries of the new sphere of influence.

- 5d: DISCUSSION: Relating to Water Service to Proposed New Development Areas: [7:50:20 PM](#) City Administrator Deschenes stated that he has provided an extensive report in the council packets in regards to the water issues. Deschenes stated that the executive summary that is included in his staff report illustrates his opinion of the water situation. Deschenes stated that the City of Modesto water system is not up to Modesto's standards much less Waterford's standards. Deschenes stated that the most recent water rate increase that the Waterford customers are paying are going towards

improvements to other City of Modesto system improvements and not to the water system in Waterford. Deschenes stated that Modesto is not issuing will-serve letters to Waterford developers very easily and giving reasons of lack of capacity and/or lack of pressure. Deschenes stated that what triggered this discussion was a developer at the last meeting made a proposal to buy the City of Modesto system, improve it and then donate it to the City of Waterford. Deschenes stated that the information that he has on this system which he has provided in his staff report indicates that economically this would not be a good move for the City of Waterford. Deschenes stated further that if anyone has more information on this system that he has not taken into consideration to bring it forward and enlighten him. Deschenes explained that there is over 7 million dollars worth of improvements needed in the current water system. In consulting with our water consultant he felt that the 7 million dollars was conservative and would most likely run much more than that if a detailed survey was conducted. Deschenes stated that Modesto made a commitment to do the upgrades when they passed the water rate increase or that was at least the rationale they used behind it. Deschenes stated further that there did not seem to be time frames attached to that; at least there are no time frames that he is aware of. Deschenes stated that he has requested the operations and revenue statements from Modesto for the last 8 years; however the City of Modesto has always stated that the revenue numbers would not be too difficult to determine, however Modesto has said that they have not accounted for the operating expenses for the Waterford system separate from their other systems. Therefore, we do not know how expensive it is to operate this system. Deschenes stated that this is information that anyone would need to know before they made commitments to purchase it. Deschenes explained that setting water rates is a complex process and stated that normally you would capture your operating expense as well as the cost to replace and maintain the equipment. Deschenes feels that Modesto most likely got behind the curve and should have increased rates along time ago, but feels that for years Modesto was probably not generating enough money to upgrade and replace assets. Deschenes stated that this is the situation as he sees it and made a suggestion to continue this discussion to the next meeting to allow the developer time to review the staff report and material provided tonight and also allow time for any additional information that may be out there to come forward.

[8:00:23 PM](#) Gus Oberthier, 11605 Yosemite Blvd, made a comment in regards to the water rights belonging to the MID and not Modesto, Mr. Oberthier stated that Modesto buys their water from the MID and that Waterford should be able to buy the Water from MID just the same as Modesto does. City Administrator Deschenes explained that Waterford could negotiate a contract with MID to purchase water, however stated that the issue is getting that to potable water. Deschenes stated that we could get irrigation water fairly easy, but getting potable treated water is a different scenario that would require a major investment and stated further that MID would sell us water if we were willing to build a plant and treat it.

[8:04:01 PM](#) Rick Mummert, 425 S. Reinway, addressed the council stating that since he just received a copy of the City Administrator's staff report he would like to take some time to review it. Mr. Mummert wanted to clarify that he does own an engineering firm, however he is not a registered civil engineer and stated he has been in the business for 23 years, however has never been certified. Mr. Mummert commented that Mr. Oberthier is correct in that the turn out is controlled by MID and stated that he and his group have had meetings with MID and the City of Modesto prior to him coming to the last council meeting. Mr. Mummert stated that MID and Modesto have contributed money to their phase 2 construction to expand the water treatment plant. Mr. Mummert stated that for the City of Waterford to connect to that turnout they will need to be a part of the phase 3, 2018 EIR and stated that the city should start this process sooner than later so that Waterford can be a part of the phase 3 expansion because it is too late to participate in the phase 2 expansion. Mr. Mummert thanked the City Administrator for a big effort in putting together his staff report and stated that he will read it and reserve further comment until a future meeting.

City Administrator commented that Waterford did make a request to be a part of phase 2 very early on several years ago and MID stated that it was okay with them, however that we would need to get the City of Modesto's approval and the City of Modesto declined

our request, therefore we did not have the option of participating in phase 2. Deschenes stated that was unfortunate and stated that Waterford's general plan does identify our participation in phase 3.

**Carry item to next meeting.**

- 5e: DISCUSSION: Relating to City's Discretion in Amending WMC Chapter 2.12 Section 020 (b):[8:07:13 PM](#) City Attorney Browning explained that he was given direction to look into the portion of the municipal code that prohibited adverse evaluation of the City Administrator within 90 days of an election. Browning reported that he did not find any state code that prohibits this or sets a minimum or maximum time frame on evaluations; therefore Browning stated that the Council can change that portion of the municipal code if it is their desire. Browning explained further that with a contract employee this can be a part of the term and condition in their contract, therefore, Browning explained that Council can change it; however it would only apply to the next contract period. Browning stated that for the current contract the City Administrator is entitled to rely upon what is in the municipal code in terms of terms and conditions that affect this relationship. CM/Krause stated that the 90 day period is up on April 4<sup>th</sup>, therefore that still allows a 3-4 week period to get the new council members evaluations in and stated that if we need to we could have a special meeting to consider the new contract. CM/Krause commented that the 90-day rule should stay as is because we would be doing a disservice to the employee and ourselves if we didn't allow at least a 90 day review period for any new council member.

## 6. NEW BUSINESS:

- 6a: DISCUSSION: Relating to 2 Hour Parking Recommended Between Welch St. & Hwy 132 on the West Side of Oakdale/Waterford Hwy (Center Line of Welch St. & Continuing 300' South on F St.):[8:11:34 PM](#) City Administrator Deschenes explained that a newly established business located at F St. & Hwy 132 is having the issue that Ace Hardware had a few years ago with long term truck parking obscuring the visibility to their business which is affecting how they can operate and it is jeopardizing their chances of success. Deschenes explained that the municipal code does allow the traffic engineer to implement parking limits, however in Waterford we have always brought this to Council so that Council is aware of what we are doing. Deschenes explained that this parking limit will mirror what was done on the opposite side of the street a couple years ago.

Aldaco moved, seconded by Krause, to approve the 2 Hour parking limit on the west side of F Street between Welch St. and Hwy 132, as recommended.

[8:17:49 PM](#)

**AYES:** Broderick-Villa, Goeken, Krause, Weaver and Aldaco

**NAYES:** None

**ABSENT:** None

Motion passed by majority.

- 6b: DISCUSSION: Relating to 24 Minute Parking Recommended at the Intersection of S. Western Ave & Hwy 132 (136' South of Red Curb Markings at Intersection of Hwy 132 & S. Western Ave: [8:17:53 PM](#) City Administrator Deschenes explained that he has received input from a business owner at this location to allow 2 hour parking at this location instead of 24 minute. Deschenes stated that staff does not have any objections to changing this to 2 hour parking and explained that the initial intent was to prevent long term parking and to limit the parking to move people through more quickly. CM/Goeken commented that he felt 1 hour parking would be sufficient because nobody will be able to eat a meal in 24 minutes or work out at the Slender Express in 24 minutes. Deschenes

stated that the municipal code only allows for either 24 minute parking or 2 hour parking. Deschenes explained that the municipal code can be amended; however going with what is already established would be more expeditious than amending the code. Deschenes stated that staff felt the situation was urgent enough to use the existing code rather than waiting for an amendment to take place, however stated that staff does not have any objections to further amending the code. CM/Goeken commented that we could implement the 2 hour parking, however in the meantime we should go ahead and amend the code to also allow implementation of 1 hour parking.

Krause moved, seconded by Goeken, to approve the 2 hour parking limit from S. Western Ave. & Hwy 132 and to amend the Municipal Code to allow implementation of a 1 hour parking limit.

[8:22:11 PM](#)

**AYES:** Broderick-Villa, Goeken, Krause, Weaver and Aldaco

**NAYES:** None

**ABSENT:** None

Motion passed by majority.

- 6c: RESOLUTION 2007-15: A Resolution Accepting Offer of Dedication for Public Roads and Utility Purposes at Lot 149 as Shown on the Map of River Pointe, Unit No. 2, Filed in Book 41 of Maps at Page 78, Stanislaus County Records:[8:22:22 PM](#) City Administrator Deschenes explained that as this lot was developed there was a preference to move the existing PUE to the opposite side of the lot. Deschenes stated that this item and the next item are related. City Attorney Browning stated that he has reviewed the documents and has approved as to form.

Krause moved, seconded by Aldaco, to <MOTION DETAILS HERE>, as recommended.

[8:24:17 PM](#)

**AYES:** Broderick-Villa, Goeken, Krause, Weaver and Aldaco

**NAYES:** None

**ABSENT:** None

Motion passed by majority.

- 6d: RESOLUTION 2007-17: A Resolution Vacating an Existing Public Utility Easement in the River Pointe, Unit No. 2 Subdivision: [8:24:23 PM](#) City Attorney Browning explained that since we are changing the location of the existing PUE the city needed to vacate its interest in the original PUE and give it back to the property owner. Browning explained that the mechanism that we are using to accomplish this is a Quitclaim Deed which relinquishes any interest that one has is deeded over to the receiving party. Browning stated that this is the correcting part of these two items.

Krause moved, seconded by Goeken, to adopt Resolution 2007-17, as recommended.

[8:25:49 PM](#)

**AYES:** Broderick-Villa, Goeken, Krause, Weaver and Aldaco

**NAYES:** None

**ABSENT:** None

Motion passed by majority.

- 6e: RESOLUTION 2007-18: A Resolution Approving Contract with RMC Water and Environment which Authorizes RMC Engineering to Apply for a Prop 13 Grant to Plan for the Reuse of Waste Water Effluent on behalf of the City of Waterford and Authorizing the Mayor or Vice-Mayor to Execute the Contract and any Associated Documents:

[8:25:55 PM](#) City Administrator Deschenes stated that RMC is the engineer that has done our water, waste water and storm drain studies for our general plan update. Deschenes explained that during our general plan update public meetings we have talked about a purple pipe system which is a way to reclaim waste water. Deschenes stated that we currently use percolation ponds and stated that percolation ponds are not popular they are just acceptable and that the State does not particularly like them but they tolerate them for the present time. Deschenes explained that this particular study will identify what our options are on what we can do with our treated affluent and how to get rid of it. Deschenes explained that what some municipalities are doing is taking this reclaimed water and are piping it back city parks, golf courses, water amenities in subdivisions, etc. and using it to irrigate. Deschenes stated that there are grants out there to give us some of these alternatives to use, therefore we would like to pursue this and we would also make this a part of our general plan. Deschenes stated that to file for the grant and to do the ground work would cost approximately \$10,000. Deschenes stated that a rough estimate to do the plans would be approximately \$70,000 and stated that this is a 50/50 grant, therefore the city's cost would be about \$35,000 as part of our general plan and sphere studies and the State of California would pay the other \$35,000.

Krause moved, seconded by Weaver, to adopt Resolution 2007-18, as recommended.

[8:30:15 PM](#)

**AYES:** Broderick-Villa, Goeken, Krause, Weaver and Aldaco

**NAYES:** None

**ABSENT:** None

Motion passed by majority.

## 7. COMMENTS:

7a: Law Enforcement Comments/Reports: [8:30:20 PM](#) Chief Jackson reported that the additional staff person that was approved for this year was declined by the County CEO's office today and stated that the CEO's offices expressed its concern with staffing shortages at the main office. Chief Jackson explained that he expressed his concerns with staffing ratio's here in Waterford and he also spoke with the Assistant Sheriff in regards to this. Jackson stated that the Assistant Sheriff assured him that Waterford would be first in line when staffing does become available. Jackson stated that several steps are being taken to remedy the staffing issue and Jackson predicted July would be the soonest that the Sheriff's office could accommodate our request. Jackson stated that the Sheriff is still committed to providing the best level of service that they can and at the Council's direction.

Jackson stated that he is our representative as the Emergency Management Coordinator for Waterford and the surrounding areas. Jackson stated that at a recent quarterly meeting he was informed that the county is putting together a 4 hour regional training for local elected officials to meet the NIMS requirement which is a federal requirement by FEMA. Jackson stated that this will be a good training for council members that will educate them on what their roles and responsibilities will be in a natural emergency disaster. Jackson stated that he would get the course material and curriculum to Council as soon as it is available.

Jackson explained that through Homeland Security Funding the Office of Emergency Services came up with a notification system which can make computer generated phone calls to certain areas if they need to get out some kind of emergency message. Jackson stated that it has progressed to the point where it is in place now and that the OES is just trying to develop some policies and procedures as far as how to use the system. Jackson stated that this system can call up to 6,000 phone numbers per hour and is a good tool that is available to us.

Jackson explained the background of how the Code Enforcement position was created and the current duties and responsibilities of the position.

7b: City Attorney Comments/Reports: [8:51:55 PM](#) City Attorney Browning stated that he brought binders on Conflict of Interest information from the League of California Cities to distribute to the City Council to refer to and keep as a reference and also brought enough for the Planning

Commission. In addition Browning stated that he has a League hand out in regards to the Brown Act that he will distribute as well.

7c: City Administrator Comments/Reports: [8:53:32 PM](#) City Administrator Deschenes explained to Council the need to put in a modular building on the lot next to the current City Hall. Deschenes stated that he would also like to include some additional amenities such as showers for the deputies. Deschenes stated that he just wanted to introduce this concept for now and that he will make this an agenda item soon. Deschenes stated that he does intend to look at other options of getting the additional officer here as quickly as possible and that he will be reviewing our contract with the Sheriff Department. Deschenes reported that the city will be looking at getting a couple of CNG cars because it is all that is available at this point and stated that the manufacturers have stopped making the CNG trucks. Deschenes explained that the city has CNG grant funding for this and will most likely be returning some of the funds because we will not be able to justify the expenditure of all of it. CM/Weaver asked if Council could get the cost proposals for a modular building. Deschenes stated that he would be gathering information on all options such as renting, leasing, lease to purchase, etc. and all the costs associated with each option. CM/Goeken made a request to add an agenda item to the next Parks & Recreation meeting in regards to a skate park to discuss design concepts, where to locate and various types of skate parks. Deschenes stated that it would be good to take the Commissioners on a field trip to look at skate parks in other cities.

7d: City Council Comments/Reports:

Jose Aldaco: [9:01:00 PM](#) commended staff for the bike route flyer that they put together. Aldaco stated that he recently went through emergency training at the school and commented that it was nice to have the Chief there. Aldaco offered his deepest sympathy to the Padrnos family on their recent loss.

CM/Weaver: [9:02:31 PM](#) Congratulated Waterford High Academic Decathlon for their great performance and wished them luck at the State competition. Weaver stated that with the set back of the new officer he asked if there might be an interest in setting up a neighborhood watch commission. Weaver offered condolences to the Padrnos family.

CM/Goeken: [9:03:21 PM](#) Goeken reminded everyone that the Lions Club Crab Feed is next Saturday and stated that tickets are still available for anyone that would like to purchase tickets.

CM/Krause: [9:03:39 PM](#) Krause congratulated the Academic Decathlon team on their success.

Mayor Broderick-Villa: [9:04:01 PM](#) Broderick-Villa stated that the Academic Decathlon team will be competing in Los Angeles on March 15, 16, 17 and 18<sup>th</sup>, therefore Broderick-Villa made a request to move the March 15<sup>th</sup> Council Meeting to Wednesday, March 14<sup>th</sup>. Broderick-Villa offered his condolences to the Padrnos family. Broderick-Villa stated that Howard Cohen, School Superintendent is back after a month off. Broderick-Villa made a request to start up the 2 X 2 meetings between the Council and the School Board. Broderick-Villa made an additional request to change the April 5<sup>th</sup> Council Meeting to Wednesday, April 4<sup>th</sup> due to his need to attend Holy Thursday. City Administrator Deschenes referred to the City Attorney as to the process of changing meeting dates and after a review of the municipal code a change in meeting dates requires the passage of a resolution.

## 8. ANNOUNCEMENTS:

ADJOURNMENT/RECESS: [9:08:04 PM](#) Krause moved, seconded by Weaver, to adjourn the City Council meeting.

[9:08:22 PM](#)

**AYES:** Broderick-Villa, Goeken, Krause, Weaver and Aldaco  
**NAYES:** None  
**ABSENT:** None

Motion passed by majority.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Waterford City Council at a regular meeting held on March 1, 2007, by the following vote:

AYES:  
NOES:  
ABSTAIN:  
ABSENT:

ATTEST:

---

Lori Martin, City Clerk

---

William Broderick-Villa, Mayor

CLOSED SESSION:

- Item 9a: "CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL, PENDING LITIGATION"  
(PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.9)  
None.
- Item 9b: "CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL, ANTICIPATED LITIGATION" (PURSUANT TO  
GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.9)  
None.
- Item 9c: "CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR"  
(PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE 54956.8)  
None.
- Item 9d: "CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR"  
(Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6) Charles Deschenes, City Administrator,  
Designated Labor Negotiator  
None.
- Item 9e: "PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION"  
(Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957)  
None.
- Item 9f: "PUBLIC EMPLOYEE DISCIPLINE/DISMISSAL/RELEASE"  
(Pursuant to Government Code Section 54957)  
None.
- Item 9g: "PUBLIC EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENT"  
(Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.8)  
None.
- Item 9h: "CHARGE OR COMPLAINT INVOLVING INFORMATION PROTECTED BY STATE LAW"  
(Penal Code Section 832.7)  
None.