

WATERFORD CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

Thursday, July 6, 2006

A regular meeting of the Waterford City Council was called to order by Mayor Turner at 6:45 PM at the Waterford Community Center, 540 "C" Street, Waterford, CA 95386.

ROLL CALL PRESENT

Charles Turner, Mayor
William Broderick, Vice Mayor
Zena Gilbert, Council Member
Ken Krause, Council Member
Greg Dugovic, Council Member

EXCUSED:

ABSENT:

CITY STAFF & CONTRACT EMPLOYEES PRESENT

Corbett Browning, City Attorney
Charles E. Deschenes, City Administrator
Lori Martin, City Clerk
Rob Jackson, Police Chief
Robert Borchard, City Planner

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Mayor Turner

INVOCATION: Pastor David Womack, Waterford Assembly of God

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA: A Motion was made by CM/Dugovic and seconded by CM/Krause to adopt the agenda. Vote: 5-0

ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT AGENDA: A motion was made by CM/Krause and seconded by CM/Dugovic to adopt the consent calendar. Vote: 5-0

1. CONSENT AGENDA:

- 1a: RESOLUTION 2006-63: Warrant Register
- 1b: Minutes of Regular Meeting held June 15, 2006
- 1c: Government Center Planning Committee Meeting held June 9, 2006

2. PRESENTATIONS:

- 2a: Pastor Womack to make Announcement in Regards to the 75h Anniversary Celebration for the Waterford Assembly of God Church: Pastor Womack announced the 75th Anniversary and Homecoming set for August 27, 2006 and invited the Mayor and Waterford City Council Members to attend the celebration service.

- 2b: Recognition of Outstanding Service to William E. Gnass, former City Attorney: Mayor Turner read aloud and presented Mr. Gnass with Resolution 2006-69, recognizing the excellence of William E. Gnass and declaring the week of July 9, 2006 William E. Gnass week in the City of Waterford. Mr. Gnass thanked the Council stating that he would not have been a successful Attorney without a supportive City Council & Staff. Mayor Turner announced that the City Council will take a short recess to enjoy a short Celebration in honor of Mr. Gnass.
A Motion was made to adopt Resolution 2006-69 by: CM/Dugovic
Seconded by: CM/Krause
Absent: 0
Abstain: 0
Vote: 5-0

City Council Meeting Recessed at 6:44PM. City Council Meeting Reconvened at 7:00PM

3. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE AUDIENCE:

None.

4. PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS:

4a: ORDINANCE 2006-01: 1ST READING & ADOPTION: An Ordinance Adopting a Urgency Ordinance Imposing a Moratorium on Medical Marijuana Dispensaries in Waterford, Pursuant to California Government Code Section 65858: City Attorney Browning stated that this item was a collaboration between he and the Police Chief. City Attorney Browning stated that he submitted a very lengthy staff report which explains the details and need for this Ordinance. Browning stated that an Urgency Ordinance requires a 4/5 vote of the Council to pass. Browning stated that this also requires a declaration establishing the necessity of this Ordinance is to protect public peace, health & public safety. Browning stated that the staff report supports the necessity. Browning stated that this urgency Ordinance will establish a 45 day prohibition on any such facility being established. During this time frame, Mr. Browning stated he will be bringing back to the Council for consideration an Ordinance establishing a moratorium for the remainder of the 12 month period, at which time staff would be directed to review the feasibility and plausibility of enacting a zoning criteria for regulation of these types of facilities.

Mayor Turner opened and closed the public hearing with no comments offered by the public.

A Motion was made to adopt Urgency Ordinance 2006-01 by: VM/Broderick

Seconded by: CM/Krause

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Vote: 5-0

4b: ORDINANCE 2006-03: 1ST READING & INTRODUCTION: An Ordinance Amending the Official Zoning Map by Rezoning Certain Property Known as the "Bearden Multi-Family Project" from Neighborhood Commercial (C-1) and Planned Community (P-C) to Multi-Family Residential (R-3): City Planner Borchard gave a brief summary of the details of this item to Council. A staff report was prepared and is on file detailing the specifics of this rezone. Borchard stated that this item was approved unanimously at the last Planning Commission meeting. Mayor Turner opened and closed the public hearing with no comments offered by the public.

A Motion was made to approve the 1st Reading & Introduction of Ordinance 2006-03 by:

CM/Dugovic

Seconded by: CM/Krause

Absent: 0

Abstain: 1 VM/Broderick

Vote: 4-0

4c: RESOLUTION 2006-64: A Resolution of Intent to Levy and Confirming the Engineer's Report for the Waterford Landscaping and Lighting Assessment District: City Attorney Browning stated this is following up from a previous meeting where Council ordered the engineer reports for the assessment district. Browning stated that this is the next step in the process, which is to identify intent to levy and to confirm the engineer's report. Browning stated that the next item is the same with the exception that it is for a different district. Mayor Turner opened and closed the public hearing with no comments offered from the public.

A Motion was made to adopt Resolution 2006-64 by: CM/Gilbert

Seconded by: CM/Dugovic

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Vote: 5-0

4d: RESOLUTION 2006-67: A Resolution of Intent to Levy and Confirming the Engineer's Report for the Waterford Light Assessment District: (refer to explanation of previous item)

Mayor Turner opened and closed the public hearing with no comments offered from the public.

A Motion was made to adopt Resolution 2006-67 by: CM/Dugovic

Seconded by: VM/Broderick

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Vote: 5-0

5. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

- 5a: DISCUSSION: Relating to the General Plan Vision 2025 Update: City Administrator Deschenes stated that the draft EIR is going through the final editing process and will be going to the Planning Commission for review which will start the 45 day public review process. City Planner Borchard stated that this will hopefully go to the printer next week and plans to hold some public workshops.
- Mr. Gus Obethier, 11605 Yosemite Blvd., addressed Council and referred to the proposed Circulation Map sharing his concerns in regards to the proposed circulation plan. Mayor Turner explained that this is a starting point for discussions and ideas on a circulation plan and encouraged Mr. Obethier to continue to share his concerns and ideas through out this process.
- 5b: DISCUSSION: Relating to the Waterford Government Center Project. City Administrator Deschenes stated that the Council will be receiving a draft copy of an RFP for Architectural Services that was drafted by our Consultant, Gary Ingraham. Deschenes stated that this is a starting point for input. Deschenes explained that the input provided will go to the Government Center Planning Committee which will be revised as they feel is appropriate.
- 5c: DISCUSSION: Relating to the Removal of Hazardous Materials on the Waterford Government Center Parcel including the Property known as the "Caro" property: City Administrator Deschenes stated that equipment has once again been mobilized with the actual demolition to begin very soon. Deschenes stated that he has not yet received a quote relating to the Oak tree in regards to if it is a neutral item, a cost item, or a cost savings item. Deschenes stated that he has received a report from an arborist that the tree can be saved, however recommended that once the demolition is completed, it will need approximately \$1800 of work to ensure the maximum chances of survival for the tree. Deschenes explained that as a part of the hazmat removal there is a portion of the old Post Office building flooring that will be removed along with two structures on the Caro property.
- 5d: DISCUSSION: Relating to City Organization and Software Reporting Improvements and Presentation of Software Provider Contract: City Administrator Deschenes explained that new finance software was budgeted for this last budget year and although we are in a new budget year an extensive amount of work was done last fiscal year in regards to obtaining new financial software and have identified a provider by the name of Springbrook as a possible software provider. Deschenes stated that he is working with the City Attorney in regards to contract negotiations and staff will be visiting another city that is currently using the software. Deschenes stated that staff attended a local user's group meeting which consisted of other municipalities that currently use the software, and the software provider has done an on-site demonstration. Deschenes explained some of the details in regards to the software and contract.
- 5e: Relating to the Fiscal Year 2006-07 Budget: City Administrator Deschenes stated that he is working on the 06-07 budget. Deschenes stated that there are two new positions proposed in this budget year, one for a Police Officer and one for a Community Development/Planning/RDA position. Deschenes also stated that per the Employee Organization Agreement, the Council agreed to have a pay classification study done in 2007. Deschenes stated that we would also want to have an organizational study done along with it. Deschenes gave an estimated cost of approximately \$30,000 for that study. Deschenes stated that the city may need to look at more traditional type of staffing as the city grows. Deschenes stated that he would like to implement the new financial software by January 1, 2007.
- 5f: DISCUSSION: Relating to Trench Patch and Miscellaneous Work Relating to the Welch Street Project: City Administrator Deschenes stated our current contractor Teichert proved to be the most economical for the miscellaneous work needed and will bring back a change order to this public works project at the next Council meeting. Deschenes explained that the trench patch which was caused by the City of Modesto's water line work is an ongoing problem that we need to address before Teichert does their work. Deschenes stated that three informal quotes were received on this. The most successful quote that the engineer's obtained which was approximately \$5000 less than the quotes that were received from Teichert and the Patch Crew, was from Cal Coating in the amount of \$18,931.00. Therefore, with the approval from Council we will proceed with this work so that we can

get this project done. Deschenes explained that he will be negotiating with the City of Modesto to compensate for their error in the type of patching material used for the trench patch. The City of Modesto had some communication problems in regards to this job in which they thought we were doing a complete rehabilitation of the road, when in fact we are only doing an overlay, therefore the City of Modesto did not use the correct type of material for this job.

6. NEW BUSINESS

6a: DISCUSSION: Relating to an Application for Appeal Relating to Denial of Business License for a Recycling Center in the C-2 (General Commercial Zone): City Planner Borchard addressed Council and explained that this is a business license application for a metal recycling business that was denied by staff. Borchard explained that he denied the application because he could not find any consistency within the zoning code to allow for this type of establishment. The applicant appealed this decision to the Planning Commission and the result of this appeal was a 2-2 vote, therefore no action was taken. The applicant has chosen to bring the appeal to City Council for consideration and decision. Borchard stated that a staff report was provided to Council in the agenda packet. Borchard further stated that due to the code not providing for this type of establishment, he has not authority to approve the application. Borchard listed several options that the council could take on this appeal. Borchard stated that Council has the authority to amend the code, which may be what the applicant will be asking for. Borchard stated that the applicant is in the audience and can provide the Council with their request. City Attorney Browning provided input to the Council in regards to the appeal process under the Business License code. Browning stated that under this code the basis for the denial requires a finding of endangerment of public health, safety and morals, and in this case staff is saying that it finds this to be an endangerment of public health, safety and morals because it is inconsistent with the zoning code. Browning stated that due to this being inconsistent with the code that it justifies that finding. Browning explained that if Council so chooses they can direct staff to amend the code to allow for this type of establishment.

Mr. Paul Francis, representing American Metals & Recycling addressed Council stating that if this is approved his long term plan would be to utilize all three warehouse buildings on G Street, therefore as the business grows they would be able to keep all materials inside the buildings, therefore would eliminate the possibility of disturbing any of the residential neighbors. Another concern that was brought up was that his business would give criminals a place to take stolen metal products and receive money for it. Mr. Francis stated that he would be required by State law to take down a drivers license number as well as their vehicle license number and if a resident came in and identified material in his possession as stolen he would be able to work with law enforcement and provide them information on who brought the material to his business. Mr. Francis stated that he will not be storing any metals, cans, aluminum of any sort stored in this facility and that any of those types of items would be shipped out next day or second day. Mr. Francis stated that there is plenty of room for parking spaces and will also provide for handicapped parking. Mr. Francis stated that the State has approved his application and have inspected the site and the State felt this was a good site for a recycling facility. Mr. Francis stated that he has talked with many of the residential neighbors and has not received any indication that the neighbors had any objections to the location of his business. Mr. Francis stated that he intends to maintain this property, which would be an advantage to the City, because currently the City is having trouble with getting the property owner, Mr. Ehrenkrantz to maintain any of his properties. Mr. Francis stated that he would like to have the chance to show the Council and the City that they run a good clean business, and would even be open to an approval of a temporary business license to prove this.

Mr. Gus Oberthier, 111605 Yosemite Blvd., addressed Council stating that he was not for nor against this business, however offered his input that he feels this is a perfect example for a variance because this is an existing non-conforming building.

After a long question and answer period and deliberation, Mayor Turner stated that he does not want to discourage the applicant from opening a business in Waterford, and certainly welcomes the applicant to do business in Waterford; however he was not comfortable with a light industrial type of business being located that closely to residential. Mayor Turner stated that the City is trying to clean up the downtown, and this is a portion of the downtown core. Mayor Turner stated that he has to agree that this does not comply with existing zoning. VM/Broderick and CM/Gilbert both felt that the types of businesses allowed under the C1 and C2 zones were very similar types of businesses as to what the applicant is proposing and felt that this may need further review.

City Attorney Browning explained that there is no mention of a recycling center in the C1 or C2 zone, and even though there may be uses that are similar, however this is not identified in our code. Mr. Browning stated that he feels it would be incumbent upon the applicant that if he wishes to pursue this to proceed with a request for a zone change to add this specific use as a conditional use. This would allow the Planning Commission to apply conditions upon a recycling operation within that zone. Mr. Browning explained to Council that the action before them tonight is to either uphold the denial of the business license or approve the appeal and allow for the business. Mr. Browning stated that Council could uphold the denial and offer a recommendation to the applicant to pursue the variance. Mayor Turner offered this direction to the applicant.

A Motion was made to uphold the denial of the business license for a recycling center in a C1/C2 zone by: CM/Krause

Seconded by: CM/Dugovic

AYES: 4 - Turner, Gilbert, Krause, Dugovic

NOES: 1 - Broderick

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Vote: 4-1

- 6b: RESOLUTION 2006-65: A Resolution Declaring Surplus Property: City Administrator Deschenes explained that the Public Works Director recognized an opportunity to dispose of the city's e-waste items and stated a listing of these items has been provided as part of the staff report and Resolution and recommended adoption by the Council.

A Motion was made to adopt Resolution 2006-65 by: CM/Dugovic

Seconded by: CM/Krause

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Vote: 5-0

- 6c: RESOLUTION 2006-62: A Resolution Approving the 30 Year Transportation Financial Expenditure Plan: City Administrator Deschenes explained that this is what is known as the County-wide ½ cent sales tax to fund transportation improvements in Stanislaus County. StanCOG has taken a pro-active position on this and feels that the infrastructure needs within the county are such that the only way we will make a significant difference is to get local funds that will be used to match and influence federal and state dollars. Deschenes stated that anyone that has driven our county roads lately or city streets recognizes that they need attention. Deschenes stated that he will not say that this is the ultimate solution; however it is a step in the right direction to get the needed improvements to our streets and roads. Deschenes stated that this measure which will go to voters in November will require a 2/3 passing vote from the voters, so it is a tough hill to climb. Deschenes stated that copies of the financial plan have been provided and are available at City Hall to anyone who is interested in a copy.

A Motion was made to adopt Resolution 2006-62 by: CM/Dugovic

Seconded by: VM/Broderick

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Vote: 5-0

- 6d: RESOLUTION 2006-61: A Resolution Authorizing Investment of Monies in the Local Agency Investment Fund: City Administrator Deschenes explained that the Local Agency Investment Fund is the city's alternate investment vehicle and we periodically are required to update. Deschenes stated that LAIF pays a better return on investment than a traditional bank account which is the only other option we would have. Deschenes stated that LAIF is very conservative and is ran by the State Treasurer and is consistent with California State law in regards to the types of investments it can make with public funds. Deschenes stated that at this point in time we do not have any suggested alternatives and we would like to continue with our participation in the Local Agency Investment Fund.

A Motion was made to adopt Resolution 2006-61 by: VM/Broderick

Seconded by: CM/Gilbert

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Vote: 5-0

- 6e: RESOLUTION 2006-66: A Resolution Appointing Members to the Parks & Recreation Commission: Mayor Turner stated that applications have been received from Rachel Sparks, Joseph See, Patricia Green and Shay Wilson. Mayor Turner stated that there is three expired terms on the Parks & Recreation Commission with four applications submitted. City Administrator Deschenes reminded the Council that in a previous situation when there was an interested candidate that Council felt was very well qualified an alternate was appointed. Deschenes stated that this is a bit unconventional; however, it is a way to keep interested citizens involved. City Attorney Browning stated that he is not aware of any prohibition to appointing alternates. Mayor Turner also announced that there is still an open spot for a youth advisor on the Parks & Recreation Commission. Mayor Turner entertained motions to appoint Rachel Sparks, Joseph See, and Patricia Green as Parks & Recreation Commissioners and Mayor Turner entertained a motion to appoint Shay Wilson as an Alternate Parks & Recreation Commissioner.

Four Separate Motions were made to appoint 3 Commissioners and 1 Alternate Commissioner to the Parks & Recreation Commission by: Mayor Turner

Seconded by: CM/Dugovic

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Vote: 5-0

- 6f: DISCUSSION: Relating to a Council Member Appointment to the Stanislaus County Travel & Tourism Committee and to the Stanislaus County Economic Development Action Committee: After a short discussion, Mayor Turner entertained a motion to appoint CM/Gilbert to the Travel & Tourism Committee. Mayor Turner entertained a motion to appoint CM/Gilbert to the Economic Development Action Committee.

A Motion was made to appoint CM/Gilbert to the Travel & Tourism Committee by: Mayor Turner

Seconded by: CM/Dugovic

A Motion was made to appoint CM/Gilbert to the Economic Development Action Committee by: Mayor Turner

Seconded by: VM/Broderick

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Vote: 5-0

- 6g: RESOLUTION 2006-68: A Resolution Approving Contract with Nichols Consulting for Services Relating to Mandated Cost Claims: City Administrator Deschenes explained that Andy Nichols was a consultant that we contracted with approximately 7 years ago. Mr. Nichols firm merged with another firm which became Centration, Inc. which has been our consultant for the last few years. Deschenes explained that Centration has presented a proposal that asks for a minimum \$5,000 fee to prepare and submit our application to the State for reimbursement of mandated programs. Deschenes explained that due to the State Legislature has changed the rules on the open meetings act which was the largest revenue source for us, making it no longer eligible for state mandated cost reimbursement. This combined with the \$5,000 minimum fee proposal makes Centration a pretty expensive option. Deschenes stated that after doing some research found out that Mr. Nichols had left Centration and started his own firm again. Deschenes stated that he inquired with Mr. Nichols and asked him if he was interested in providing Waterford a quote. Mr. Nichols provided the quote which came in considerably less than Centration's proposal. Deschenes recommended approval of the contract with Nichols Consulting. City Attorney Browning stated that he would like to add some language to the contract in regards to indemnification and hold harmless and insurance requirements, therefore would like the approval of this item to be pending the addition of this language to the contract.

A Motion was made to adopt Resolution 2006-68 pending language revisions to the contract by: CM/Gilbert

Seconded by: VM/Broderick

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Vote: 5-0

- 6h: DISCUSSION: Relating to Proposed Volleyball Camp and Gymnastics Programs through Waterford Parks & Recreation Department: City Administrator Deschenes explained the details of the request from Mr. Robert Weichert to run a Volleyball camp as a Waterford Parks & Recreation program. Deschenes also explained details of conversations he has had with Mr. Weichert and the

unusual circumstances surrounding this request. Deschenes stated that Mr. Weichert has provided some details as to the program he would like to run as a city program. Deschenes stated that this would require a contract, which is not yet prepared due to the details of this program being provided last minute. Deschenes stated that he will provide additional information related to the gymnastics program at the next Council meeting.

**A Motion was made giving direction to the City Attorney to prepare a contract with Mr. Weichert and authorizing the City Administrator to sign the contract by: VM/Broderick
Seconded by: CM/Krause**

Absent: 0

Abstain: 0

Vote: 5-0

7. COMMENTS:

7a: Law Enforcement Update. Police Chief, Rob Jackson reported a smooth Fourth of July with no significant incidents to report. Chief Jackson stated that we will be recruiting for two new deputies as there will be two deputies rotating out at the end of the month. Chief Jackson stated that he has several interested deputies and that Waterford is one of the few contract cities where actually deputies volunteer to come work. Chief Jackson informed Council that the Waterford patrol cars now have a new city seal artwork on them. Chief Jackson reported on a recent burglary arrest that was made recently due to good work from the deputies. The suspect was allegedly responsible for 7-8 separate burglaries occurring mostly in Hughson and stated that a lot of property was recovered from this arrest.

7b: City Attorney Update: City Attorney Browning requested closed session discussion under item 9b: Anticipated Litigation under GC. 54956.9

7c: City Administrator Update: City Administrator Deschenes stated he will be working with the City Attorney to open escrows on properties west of the sewer plant. Deschenes explained that the city has a state grant to purchase some of the properties which is an extension of our River Parkway system. Deschenes explained that this is somewhat complicated because on the larger parcel we are trying to secure about an acre to merge with the Waste Water Treatment Plant to provide future versatility to the treatment plant. This entails one complete parcel and portions of two other properties that we are pursuing. There is a verbal agreement with the complete parcel owner and with the owner of one of the portion parcels, and I have a phone call into the other owner who indicated an interest in selling it some time ago. The City Attorney has already drafted a purchase agreement of which Deschenes stated he has forwarded to the State for review. The State has indicated that they did not feel it was necessary to send it to general services for review due to the amount it would cost to do that, however felt if the City felt it was adequate, then the State would consider it adequate. Deschenes informed Council that they are all invited to attend the upcoming swearing in of the Sheriff and District Attorney on July 11, 2006 at 3:00PM. Deschenes stated that this news was announced today at a City Manager's meeting that he attended. Deschenes stated that he has been getting legitimate complaints on 124 H Street again and stated the City will be pursuing this through several avenues. Deschenes stated that he did not want to go into the Planner's area, but wanted to provide a comment relating to the appeal hearing and that there are sometimes uses that are deliberately not included in zoning for good reason. A good example of this deliberate action would be wrecking yards. Deschenes stated that some uses are of such a degree that you want them to be separately considered.

Deschenes stated that full service recycling centers similar to the one in Modesto are very similar to wrecking yards. Deschenes stated that just because a use is not in your code, that does not necessarily mean it was an inadvertent omission, and that it may have been a deliberate omission, because this is the type of use that deserves some intense scrutiny. Deschenes provided some updates to Council related to vacancies on the Consolidated Fire Board. Deschenes thanked the Council for the special consideration of Bill Gnass tonight.

7d: City Council Update:

VM/Broderick: stated it was good to see Bill Gnass. Also commented on recent trip to Columbia and mentioned that the people there do not have much trust in their government and felt it was good to be back in the US.

CM/Gilbert: No comments.

Mayor Turner: extended thanks to Bill Gnass and everything he did for the City. Also commented that the City would have gone down without him.

CM/Dugovic: echoed Mayor Turner's comments.

CM/Krause: stated he is looking forward to working with Dr. Cohen, new Superintendent of the Waterford School District.

8. ANNOUNCEMENTS: None.

ADJOURNMENT/RECESS: Recessed at 9:10 PM. After a short recess will reconvene to Closed Session. Will reconvene to City Council meeting directly after closed session to adjourn.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Waterford City Council at a regular meeting held on July 20, 2006, by the following vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSTAIN:
ABSENT:

ATTEST:

Lori Martin, City Clerk

Charles Turner, Mayor

CLOSED SESSION:

9A. "CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL, PENDING LITIGATION"
(PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.9)

9B. "CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL, ANTICIPATED LITIGATION" (PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT
CODE SECTION 54956.9)

Discussion was had regarding outstanding disputes arising out of the HOME Grant and allegations of improperly withheld administrative costs. The Council directed staff to settle the outstanding disputes by returning the balance of the administrative amounts withheld after the credit given to the City for approximately \$47,000.00 of that amount.

9C. "CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR"
(PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE 54956.8)

None.

9D. "CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR" (PURSUANT TO
GOVERNMENT CODE 54957.6)

None.

9E. "PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION" (PURSUANT
TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54957)

None.

9F. "PUBLIC EMPLOYEE DISCIPLINE/DISMISSAL/RELEASE"
(PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54957)

None.

9G. "PUBLIC EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENT" (PURSUANT TO
GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54957)

None.

9H. "CHARGE OR COMPLAINT INVOLVING INFORMATION PROTECTED BY STATE LAW."
(Penal Code Section 832.7)

None.